## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

DCO-112

No. <u>20-1919</u>

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

## FREDERICK H. BANKS, Appellant

(W.D. Pa. No. 2-15-cr-00168-001)

Present: RESTREPO, PORTER and SCIRICA, Circuit Judges

- 1. Clerk's Submission for Possible Dismissal Pursuant to Jurisdictional Defect.
- 2. Response filed by Appellee to Clerk's Submission for Possible Dismissal Pursuant to Jurisdictional Defect.
- 3. Response filed by Appellant to Clerk's Submission for Possible Dismissal Pursuant to Jurisdictional Defect.

Respectfully, Clerk/clw

## ORDER

In this appeal, the defendant appeals an order regarding his "Petition for a Writ of Habeas Corpus Under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 To Set Firm Date and Challenge Continuation of 4/17/20 Sentencing Date," which was filed within the defendant's then-pending criminal case.

To the extent the defendant requested relief under 28 U.S.C. § 2241, we summarily affirm the district court's order denying any such request. Release pending an ongoing criminal case must be sought by motion in the criminal case, not in a separate habeas-corpus proceeding under § 2241. See Reese v. Warden Phila. FDC, 904 F.3d 244, 247 (3d Cir. 2018).

To the extent the order related to the ongoing criminal case, it was not a final, appealable decision. See Midland Asphalt Corp. v. United States, 489 U.S. 794, 798 (1989) ("[The final-judgment rule] prohibits appellate review until after conviction and imposition of sentence."); United States v. Lacerda, 958 F.3d 196, 220 (3d Cir. 2020)

(reviewing speedy-sentencing claim on direct appeal). The appeal from the non-§ 2241 portion of the order is therefore DISMISSED for lack of appellate jurisdiction.

Dated: October 8, 2020

CLW/cc: Laura S. Irwin, Esq.

Marvin Miller, Esq.

By the Court,

s/Anthony J. Sci Circuit Judge

A True Copy:

Patricia S. Dodszuweit, Clerk

Certified Order Issued in Lieu of Mandate